

-----Original Message-----

from: XXX YYY <xxx@yyy.zzz>

to: Managing Editor

date: Fri, Dec 7, 2012 at 5:47 AM

subject: Re: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2012 BJASt 2085

Dear Sir,

I have performed a detailed analysis of the article "effect of activated coconut shell charcoal meal on growth performance and nutrient digestibility of broiler chickens", submitted to the British Journal of Applied Science and Technology. I believe that since the first version the quality of the manuscript has improved a lot. The authors gave full consideration to the editors' suggestions, which were very well defined.

However, I believe that before acceptance, the article must be submitted to some minor changes, to note:

In the introduction it would be interesting to provide a summary of the previous studies on this particular issue, I believe it is too general. Probably it would be interesting to perform a more complete bibliographic research, since the authors based their study on little and a bit overrated literature.

How many repetitions of the assays were performed? Standard deviations should be added to the tables.

The discussion section should be enlarged. There are some interesting results that could be better explained. I believe that the article would undoubtedly benefit.

Add the number of the AOAC regulations used on this study.

Why not presenting some of the data using graphs? Probably it would be easier to read and more interesting for the readers.

On the conclusions please state what are the future expectations of such findings. In what way is that useful for further research and development?

I believe this article may add some new knowledge to the field and hereby deserves to be published.

Your faithfully,

XXX YYY

Note: Modification was done in this email ONLY to hide the identity.